MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

STEPHANIE JANIAK, DC

MFDR Tracking Number M4-15-2636-01

MFDR Date Received APRIL 20, 2015

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 54

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "These services were requested and prescribed by the Division. The above referenced designated doctor performed the Maximum Medical Improvement as well as determined the impairment rating...The Carrier is reducing the claim as a Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment. This is incorrect on the behalf of the Carrier. This was billed per the DWC Fee Schedule and should be reimbursed per the fee schedule; no adjustments would apply to this claim."

Amount in Dispute: \$438.16

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The requestor billed a functional capacity evaluation as a referral from a designated doctor. Texas Mutual declined to issue payment of the exam as it did not meet the requirement of Rule 134.204(g), i.e. no neurological exam was performed and no cardiovascular testing with a stationary bicycle or treadmill was documented. The requirements of section (g) are not waived because the referral came from a designated doctor."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 11, 2014	CPT Code 97750-FC (8 units) Functional Capacity Evaluation (FCE)	\$438.16	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 and §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - A06-Documenttion does not meet the level of service required for FCE per Rule 134.204(G).
 - CAC-150-Payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
 - CAC-193-Original payment decision is being maintained. This claim was processed properly the first time.
 - CAC-W3, 350-In accordance with TDI-DWC rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration.
 - 724-No additional payment after a reconsideration of services.

<u>Issues</u>

Does the documentation support the level of service billed?

Findings

This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204.

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed CPT code 97750-FC.

The American Medical Association (AMA) Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) defines CPT code 97750 as "Physical performance test or measurement (eg, musculoskeletal, functional capacity), with written report, each 15 minutes."

The requestor appended modifier "FC" to code 97750. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(n)(3) states "The following Division Modifiers shall be used by HCPs billing professional medical services for correct coding, reporting, billing, and reimbursement of the procedure codes. (3) FC, Functional Capacity-This modifier shall be added to CPT Code 97750 when a functional capacity evaluation is performed".

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(g) states "The following applies to Functional Capacity Evaluations (FCEs). A maximum of three FCEs for each compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed. FCEs ordered by the Division shall not count toward the three FCEs allowed for each compensable injury. FCEs shall be billed using CPT Code 97750 with modifier "FC." FCEs shall be reimbursed in accordance with §134.203(c)(1) of this title. Reimbursement shall be for up to a maximum of four hours for the initial test or for a Division ordered test; a maximum of two hours for an interim test; and, a maximum of three hours for the discharge test, unless it is the initial test. Documentation is required. FCEs shall include the following elements:

- (1) A physical examination and neurological evaluation, which include the following:
- (A) appearance (observational and palpation);
- (B) flexibility of the extremity joint or spinal region (usually observational);
- (C) posture and deformities;
- (D) vascular integrity;
- (E) neurological tests to detect sensory deficit;
- (F) myotomal strength to detect gross motor deficit; and
- (G) reflexes to detect neurological reflex symmetry.
- (2) A physical capacity evaluation of the injured area, which includes the following:
- (A) range of motion (quantitative measurements using appropriate devices) of the injured joint or region; and
- (B) strength/endurance (quantitative measures using accurate devices) with comparison to contralateral side or normative database. This testing may include isometric, isokinetic, or isoinertial devices in one or more planes.
- (3) Functional abilities tests, which include the following:
- (A) activities of daily living (standardized tests of generic functional tasks such as pushing, pulling, kneeling, squatting, carrying, and climbing);
- (B) hand function tests that measure fine and gross motor coordination, grip strength, pinch strength, and manipulation tests using measuring devices;
- (C) submaximal cardiovascular endurance tests which measure aerobic capacity using stationary bicycle or treadmill; and
- (D) static positional tolerance (observational determination of tolerance for sitting or standing)."

The requestor states in the position summary that the disputed FCE was requested by the Designated Doctor. A review of the submitted medical bill indicates that the requestor billed for eight units, which equals two hours; therefore, the requestor did not exceed the four hour limit set in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(g) for Division ordered FCEs.

The respondent denied reimbursement for the FCE because no neurological exam was performed and no cardiovascular testing with a stationary bicycle or treadmill was documented. A review of the report finds that the respondent's denial is supported per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(g)(3)(C). As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		06/18/2015	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.